

# ADMINISTRATIVE - INTERNAL USE ONLY

Approved For Release 2001/08/02 : CIA-RDP82-00357R000800180038-8

## BRIEFING PAPER ON LEADERSHIP AND EXECUTIVE DEVELOPMENT

### The Need To Improve the Executive Developmental Process

- For over two decades, businessmen, psychologists, educators and Federal administrators have given special attention to ways of improving the quality of organizational leadership. In some businesses executive selection and development have become the first priority of management.
- Many approaches and innovations have been tried. Today, it is generally acknowledged that executive development in the Federal Government has had limited success. This comment also generally applies to industry.
- Although no simple set of leadership predictors or single method of developing leaders has yet emerged from all of the agonizing, theorizing and experimenting that has occurred over these past years, the search goes on. Emphases are changing, however. For example, previous concentration on lists of executive traits (some have exceeded 200) has given ground to the growing realization that successful executives are unlike and do different things, requiring different attributes and experiences. Moreover, rapidly changing technology and cultural values pose new dimensions and challenges, importantly affecting the selection and development of leaders. The current development of leaders and potential leaders should include exposures to the human and informational sciences as well as to traditional management functions, e.g., directing, budgeting and controlling.
- The growing importance and urgency attaching to executive development are attributable to a number of reasons:
  - One is the growing complexity of the leadership role. Effective leadership is primarily the maintenance by the executive of a successful relationship between himself and his subordinates. It is a relationship in which the head sets the program objectives and priorities and provides the proper climate for challenging work; and it is a relationship in which his subordinates understand the objectives, find satisfaction in achieving them, do the work and make at least some of the decisions. In this sense, I am sure you will agree that CIA, as most other organizations, has a way to go.
  - Another reason for seeking to improve the quality of leadership is the dilemma that organizations face in managing the conflict between the need for leaders to specialize during their careers but be knowledgeable of several fields when they become managers. It is apparent that officers picked for leadership usually owe their selection to individual prowess in ascending a rather narrowly prescribed vertical, functional ladder. It is equally apparent, however, that preparation of an individual for executive responsibility can best be achieved by exposing him to several areas or disciplines that will later fall within his purview as a leader. The need for career broadening of

Approved For Release 2001/08/02 : CIA-RDP82-00357R000800180038-8

ADMINISTRATIVE - INTERNAL USE ONLY

## ADMINISTRATIVE - INTERNAL USE ONLY

Approved For Release 2001/08/02 : CIA-RDP82-00357R000800180038-8

candidates with leadership potential helps to explain persistent efforts to use mobility and rotation as principal methods of executive development.

- A third reason is a growing awareness that organizations can no longer rely on the old adage "cream rises to the top" as the basis for selecting leaders. Almost automatically, a hierarchical system will produce enough people to fill executive vacancies, but experience has shown the process of choosing a quality or superior leader is a time-consuming exercise, entailing the observation of several candidates under stress, in a variety of developmental situations.
- Mature organizations facing an accelerated large turnover of executives within a few years are especially affected by problems of leadership quality and continuity; e.g., China. Even if no vacuum in the top leadership is expected, the continuing departure of other senior officers throughout the organization can have many disruptive effects unless systematic plans are made for their replacement.
- No list of reasons for effective executive development would be complete without mentioning the dominant tone -- good or bad -- that leaders set throughout an organization. Their attitudes, effectiveness, style, openness and work habits largely determine the general mood and work approach of others. Optimum leadership effectiveness is a key to optimum organizational effectiveness.

### Federal Program for Executive Development

- The President, CSC and OMB have declared executive development to be a major goal in improving the quality and responsiveness of the Federal Service.
- Five Guidelines have been enunciated for the establishment of an executive development program throughout the Government. Although not intended to be prescriptive, objectives of the Guidelines are to be observed, with approved adaptations, by all agencies. Timetables for implementation have been prescribed, and the CSC has been given responsibility to approve the developmental programs of individual agencies and to later evaluate their effectiveness.
- The previously distributed paper on executive development contains a basic approach for the Agency to implement a program compatible with the Guidelines. The following are comments on the applicability of that paper to the Guidelines:
  - High level of organizational commitment: The Agency plan calls for the Executive Director-Comptroller and the Executive Committee to establish the policy for executive development, approve systems for uniform administration throughout the Agency; and receive information on results of the program for evaluation purposes.

Approved For Release 2001/08/02 : CIA-RDP82-00357R000800180038-8

ADMINISTRATIVE - INTERNAL USE ONLY

## ADMINISTRATIVE - INTERNAL USE ONLY

Approved For Release 2001/08/02 : CIA-RDP82-00357R000800180038-8

- Development Plans: The Agency plan agrees with the Guidelines that executive development must be tailored to individual needs, and it embraces the concept of developing executive incumbents (supergrades) and mid-managers with high potential (GS-13 and above). It also agrees that the number of officers to be developed must be related to the amount of expected turnover in executive positions. The Agency plan, however, offers a system of individualized planning and a compilation of their identifiable developmental needs into training and assignment inventories, rather than advocating the formulation of individual career plans. Previous Agency experience with this approach suggests that they are often unrealistic or incapable of implementation.
- Mobility Program: To date, the Agency plan does not deal with this difficult problem. The Agency is obligated, however, to establish some kind of mobility program to meet the Guidelines and the desires of the Director. The significance of this issue has occasioned its presence on the agenda as a separate item.
- Training Resource Utilization: This Guideline emphasizes the importance of relating training facilities to developmental objectives and individualized plans. The Agency plan calls for the increased management training of mid-managers with high potential in a course featuring discussion and application of a wide range of management theories and techniques; e.g., human relations, information sciences and traditional management functions. OTR is undergoing an intensive re-examination of training and development, including the use of training core programs and validation of training effectiveness.
- Program Evaluation: An action plan will be prepared later.
- Throughout the Guidelines runs the central theme that effective executive development depends upon the establishment of a system and structure for projecting executive turnover and developing a suitable number of candidates with high potential. The Agency plan recognizes the essentiality of process, as well as appropriate policies, by advocating the continued use, after modification, of the Personnel Movement and Management Program (PMMP).
- As endorsed last year by the Executive Committee, PMMP serves purposes other than executive development. For example, it traces future turnover, and it provides for career boards to look at each careerist in terms of his advancement potential in future years. Evaluation of the promotional readiness of individuals in Grades GS-13 and above is an important element, however, in identifying mid-officers with high potential -- clearly a prerequisite to individualized developmental planning.
- The Office of Personnel simplified the PMMP process after its first running to meet various suggestions of the career services and to better achieve its intended purposes. The following are the main changes proposed by the Office of Personnel preliminary to using this mechanism in implementing an executive development program in the Agency.

Approved For Release 2001/08/02 : CIA-RDP82-00357R000800180038-8

ADMINISTRATIVE - INTERNAL USE ONLY

**ADMINISTRATIVE - INTERNAL USE ONLY**

Approved For Release 2001/08/02 : CIA-RDP82-00357R000800180038-8

- Within each career service, project the amount of turnover in Grades GS-11 and above and the promotional readiness of each careerist GS-11 and above to advance one or more grades during the next three years, instead of during a ten-year period as was formerly required.
- Provide better guidances to the career services concerning the items of coverage in their annual Career Service Situation Reports, relative to the most pressing problems expected within the next three years.
- As of 30 September, the Agency is obligated to report to the CSC on several aspects of executive development. Preparation of this report, presupposes that the Agency will have accomplished by that date inter alia, the identification of mid-managers with high potential, the preparation of individual programs for those so identified, and the establishment of mobility assignments (job rotations, task force assignments, details, interchanges, etc.). Meeting these objectives within the time allotted is a formidable task. It requires implementation of the Agency plan, including PMMP, throughout the Agency at the earliest opportunity and the establishment of career service inventories of developmental training and assignment experiences identified for individual careerists. Review of the plan and the modified PMMP (copies are available) are necessary first steps in meeting the prescribed timetable.

Approved For Release 2001/08/02 : CIA-RDP82-00357R000800180038-8

**ADMINISTRATIVE - INTERNAL USE ONLY**